arXiv:1610.04729v3 [hep-ph] 29 Nov 2016

A Radiative Neutrino Model with $SU(2)_L$ Triplet Fields

Takaaki Nomura,[1,*] Hiroshi Okada,[2,†] and Yuta Orikasa[1,3,4,‡]

[1]*School of Physics, KIAS, Seoul 02455, Korea*

[2]*Physics Division, National Center for Theoretical Sciences, Hsinchu, Taiwan 300*

[3]*Department of Physics and Astronomy,*

Seoul National University, Seoul 08826, Korea

[4]*Institute of Experimental and Applied Physics,*

Czech Technical University in Prague, 128 00 Prague 2, Czech Republic

(Dated: November 30, 2016)

We propose a loop induced neutrino mass model, in which we introduce several exotic fermions and bosons with $SU(2)_L$ multiplet, and discuss various phenomenologies such as lepton flavor violations, muon anomalous magnetic moment, nonstandard interacting neutrinoless double beta decay, relic density of dark matter, and the possibility of the spin independent direct detection searches, imposing the constraints of oblique parameters. And we show a benchmark point to satisfy all the constraints and discuss our predictions.

PACS numbers:

*Electronic address: nomura@kias.re.kr

†Electronic address: macokada3hiroshi@cts.nthu.edu.tw

‡Electronic address: orikasa@kias.re.kr

I. INTRODUCTION

Radiatively induced neutrino mass models are one of the promising candidates to accommodate dark matter (DM) candidate, and several exotic fermions and/or bosons in a low energy scale($\sim$ TeV scale). Also these fields are often linked to the neutrino masses that gives several predictions and various phenomenologies. Hence a lot of authors have historically been working along this ideas. Here we classify such radiative models as the number of the loops, *i.e.*, ref. [1–80] mainly focusses on the scenarios at one-loop level, ref. [81–112] at two-loop level, ref. [113–140] at three-loop level, and ref. [141, 142] at four-loop level.

If the active neutrinos are Majorana type, it might be tested by searching for the neutrinoless double beta decay, which usually appears on any types of canonical seesaw model via charged gauge boson in the standard model (SM). That is called standard interaction of neutrinoless double beta decay. However radiative neutrino models frequently include the non-standard interactions [143, 144] in addition to the standard one. Thus it might be promising on the viewpoint of discriminating models, if experiments could show some anomalous results in the future.

In this paper, we introduce several exotic fermions and bosons with $SU(2)_L$ multiplet including triplet exotic leptons and a triplet Higgs whose vacuum expectation value (VEV) is generated via trilinear scalar coupling induced at one-loop level. In our model, small triplet VEV is naturally realized which is required by the constraint from ρ-parameter. Then we discuss various phenomenologies such as lepton flavor violating processes (especially $\mu - e$ conversions), muon anomalous magnetic moment, neutrinoless double beta decay from nonstandard interaction, relic density and the possibility of the spin independent direct detection searches of DM, imposing the constraints from oblique parameters. And we show a benchmark point to satisfy all the constraints and discuss our predictions.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we introduce our model and show some formulae including Higgs masses, neutrino mass, LFV, muon anomalous magnetic moment, and DM physics. In Sec. III, we show our numerical results. We conclude in Sec. IV.

Fermion	L_L	e_R	L'	Σ
$(SU(2)_L, U(1)_Y)$	$(\mathbf{2}, -1/2)$	$(\mathbf{1}, -1)$	$(\mathbf{2}, -1/2)$	$(\mathbf{3}, -1)$
$U(1)_H$	0	0	$-x$	$-x$
Z_2	$+$	$+$	$-$	$-$

TABLE I: Lepton sector; notice the three (or two) flavor index of each field L_L, e_R, L' and Σ is abbreviated.

Boson	Φ	η	φ	Δ	χ
$(SU(2)_L, U(1)_Y)$	$(\mathbf{2}, 1/2)$	$(\mathbf{2}, 1/2)$	$(\mathbf{1}, 0)$	$(\mathbf{3}, 1)$	$(\mathbf{1}, 0)$
$U(1)_H$	0	x	x	$2x$	0
Z_2	$+$	$-$	$+$	$+$	$-$

TABLE II: Boson sector

II. THE MODEL

In this section, we introduce our model, in which the particle contents for leptons and bosons are respectively shown in Tab. I and Tab. II, and provide some formulae for such as neutrino mass matrix, lepton flavor violation processes and neutrino-less double beta decay. In our model, we add vector-like fermions of L' with $SU(2)_L$ doublet and Σ with $SU(2)_L$ triplet to the SM fields, where these fields have $-x(\neq 0)$ charge under the hidden local symmetry. Each of the exotic field needs (at least) two flavors in order to satisfy current neutrino oscillation data [146]. As for new bosons, we introduce two neutral $SU(2)_L$ singlet scalars φ and χ with $U(1)_H$ charge x and 0 respectively, an $SU(2)_L$ doublet scalar η with charge x, and an $SU(2)_L$ triplet scalar Δ with charge $2x$. We assume that Φ, φ, and Δ have VEVs, which are symbolized by $v/\sqrt{2}$, $v'/\sqrt{2}$, and $v_\Delta/\sqrt{2}$ respectively, where VEVs of φ and Δ spontaneously break the hidden symmetry down. Additional Z_2 symmetry plays a role in assuring the stability of our DM candidate; the lightest mass eigenstate among neutral component of L', Σ, χ and η which are Z_2 odd.

The relevant renormalizable Lagrangian for Yukawa sector and scalar potential under

these assignments are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\mathcal{L}_Y &= (y_\ell)_i \bar{L}_{L_i} \Phi e_{R_i} + f_{ia} \bar{L}_{L_i} \Sigma_{R_a} \eta + f'_{ab} \bar{L}'_{L_a} \Phi \Sigma_{R_b} + f''_{ab} \bar{\Sigma}_{L_a} \Phi^* L'_{R_b} \\
&+ (g_R)_{ab} \bar{L}'^c_{R_a} (i\tau_2) \Delta L'_{R_b} + (g_L)_{ab} \bar{L}'^c_{L_a} (i\tau_2) \Delta L'_{L_b} + (M_L)_a \bar{L}'_{L_a} L'_{R_a} + (M_\Sigma)_a \mathrm{Tr}[\bar{\Sigma}_{L_a} \Sigma_{R_a}] \\
&- \mu_\chi (\chi^2 \varphi^* + \text{h.c.}) - \mu_\eta (\eta^T (i\tau_2) \Delta^\dagger \eta + \text{h.c.}) - \lambda_0 (\eta^\dagger \Phi \chi \varphi + \text{h.c.}) + \text{h.c.}
\end{aligned} \tag{II.1}
$$

where τ_2 is the second Pauli matrix, each of the index $a(b)$ and $i(j)$ that runs 1-3 represents the number of generations for exotic leptons and SM leptons respectively. We work on the basis where all the coefficients are real and positive for our brevity.

Scalar sector: After the electroweak symmetry breaking, each of scalar field has nonzero mass and be parametrized as [103]

$$
\Phi = \begin{bmatrix} \phi^+ \\ \phi^0 \end{bmatrix}, \ \eta = \begin{bmatrix} \eta^+ \\ \eta^0 \end{bmatrix}, \ \Delta = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\Delta^+}{\sqrt{2}} & \Delta^{++} \\ \Delta^0 & -\frac{\Delta^+}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{II.2}
$$

where the neutral components of the above fields and the singlet scalar fields are defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\phi^0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(v + h + i\tilde{a}), \ \eta^0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\eta_R + i\eta_I), \ \Delta^0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(v_\Delta + \Delta_R + i\Delta_I), \\
&\varphi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(v' + \rho_R + i\rho_I), \quad \chi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\chi_R + i\chi_I).
\end{aligned} \tag{II.3}
$$

Here v and v_Δ is related to the Fermi constant G_F by $v^2 + 2v_\Delta^2 = 1/(\sqrt{2}G_F) \approx (246 \text{ GeV})^2$.

The CP even Higgs boson mass matrix with VEV: $(M^2)^{vev}_{\text{CP}-\text{even}}$ in the basis of (Δ_R, h, ρ_R) is diagonalized by 3×3 orthogonal mixing matrix O_R as $O_R (M^2)^{vev}_{\text{CP}-\text{even}} O_R^T = \text{diag.}(m^2_{h_1}, m^2_{h_{\text{SM}}}, m^2_{h_3})$. Here $h_2 = h_{\text{SM}}$ is the SM Higgs and h_1 and h_3 are additional Higgs mass eigenstates. Then Z_2- and CP-even components in Eq (II.3) are related to the mass eigenstates as follows:

$$
\Delta_R = (O_R^T)_{1A} h_A, \quad h = (O_R^T)_{2A} h_A, \quad \rho_R = (O_R^T)_{3A} h_A, \tag{II.4}
$$

where $A = 1 - 3$.

The CP odd Higgs boson mass matrix with VEV: $(M^2)^{vev}_{\text{CP}-\text{odd}}$ in the basis of $(\Delta_I, \tilde{a})$ is diagonalized by 2×2 orthogonal mixing matrix O_I as $O_I (M^2)^{vev}_{\text{CP}-\text{odd}} O_I^T = \text{diag.}(0, m_a^2)$, where $m_a^2 = \frac{\mu_{\text{eff}}(v^2 + 4v_\Delta^2)}{\sqrt{2} v_\Delta}$ and the massless mode G_Z is absorbed by the neutral gauge boson Z as a Nambu-Goldstone (NG) boson; $\mu_{\text{eff}} (\sim \Phi^T (i\tau_2) \Delta^\dagger \Phi)$ is induced at one-loop level as can be seen later. Then (Z_2-even)-(CP-odd) components in Eq (II.3) are related to the mass

eigenstates $(a_1, a_2) = (a, G_Z)$ as follows:

$$\Delta_I = (O_I^T)_{1\alpha} a_\alpha, \quad \tilde{a} = (O_I^T)_{2\alpha} a_\alpha, \tag{II.5}$$

where $\alpha = 1, 2$. We note that mixing effect is negligibly small since the off-diagonal component of $(M^2)^{vev}_{\rm CP-odd}$ is proportional to v_Δ. Thus relations of $a_1 \simeq a$ and $a_2 \simeq G_Z$ are indicated.

The CP even inert Higgs boson mass matrix: $(M^2)^{inert}_{\rm CP-even}$ in the basis of (η_R, χ_R) is diagonalized by 2×2 orthogonal mixing matrix V_R as $V_R (M^2)^{inert}_{\rm CP-even} V_R^T = {\rm diag.}(m^2_{H_1}, m^2_{H_2})$. Then inert CP-even components in Eq (II.3) are related to the mass eigenstates as follows:

$$\eta_R = (V_R^T)_{1\alpha} H_\alpha, \quad \chi_R = (V_R^T)_{2\alpha} H_\alpha. \tag{II.6}$$

The CP odd inert Higgs boson mass matrix: $(M^2)^{inert}_{\rm CP-odd}$ in the basis of (η_I, χ_I) is diagonalized by 2×2 orthogonal mixing matrix V_R as $V_I (M^2)^{inert}_{\rm CP-odd} V_I^T = {\rm diag.}(m^2_{A_1}, m^2_{A_2})$. Then inert CP-odd components in Eq (II.3) are related to the mass eigenstates as follows:

$$\eta_I = (V_I^T)_{1\alpha} A_\alpha, \quad \chi_I = (V_I^T)_{2\alpha} A_\alpha. \tag{II.7}$$

The Z_2-even singly charged Higgs boson mass matrix: $(M^2)^{Z_2\,{\rm even}}_{\rm singly}$ in the basis of (Δ^+, ϕ^+) is diagonalized by 2×2 orthogonal mixing matrix O_C as $O_C (M^2)^{Z_2\,{\rm even}}_{\rm singly} O_C^\dagger = {\rm diag.}(0, m_C^2)$, where $m_C^2 = \frac{(\sqrt{2}\mu_{\rm eff} - \lambda'_{\Phi\Delta} v_\Delta)(v^2 + 2v_\Delta^2)}{2 v_\Delta}$ and the massless mode G_W is absorbed by the charged gauge boson $W^\pm$ as NG boson. Then Z_2 even singly charged components in Eq (II.2) are related to the mass eigenstates $(H_1^+, H_2^+ (= G_W))$ as follows:

$$\Delta^+ = (O_C^T)_{1\alpha} H_\alpha^+, \quad \phi_R^+ = (O_C^T)_{2\alpha} H_\alpha^+. \tag{II.8}$$

Notice that we have $\Delta^+ \simeq H_1^+$ and $\phi^+ \simeq G_W^+$ due to small off-diagonal component of mass matrix as in the $(M^2)^{vev}_{\rm CP-odd}$. *The doubly charged boson mass matrix* does not have mixing. Thus its eigenvalue $m_{\Delta^{\pm\pm}}$ can be written in terms of a linear combinations of VEVs, trilinear term, and quartic couplings.

Effective trilinear coupling of $\mu_{\rm eff}$: The coupling $\mu_{\rm eff}$, which is associated with the trilinear interaction $\Phi^T (i\tau_2) \Delta^\dagger \Phi$ is induced not at the leading order, but the one-loop level mediated



FIG. 1: The one loop diagram for generating effective trilinear coupling $\mu_{\rm eff}$.

by inert neutral bosons as shown in Fig. 1. The effective trilinear coupling of $\mu_{\rm eff}$ is given by

$$\mu_{\rm eff} = \frac{(\lambda_0 v')^2 \mu_\eta}{6(4\pi)^2} \left[(\mathcal{V}_R)_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} I_3(m_{H_\alpha}, m_{H_\beta}, m_{H_\gamma}) - (\mathcal{V}_I)_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} I_3(m_{A_\alpha}, m_{A_\beta}, m_{A_\gamma}) \right], \tag{II.9}$$

with

$$(\mathcal{V}_R)_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} \equiv (V_R^T)_{2\alpha} (V_R)_{\alpha 1} (V_R^T)_{1\beta} (V_R)_{\beta 2} (V_R^T)_{1\gamma} (V_R)_{\gamma 1}, \tag{II.10}$$

$$(\mathcal{V}_I)_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} \equiv (V_I^T)_{2\alpha} (V_I)_{\alpha 1} (V_I^T)_{1\beta} (V_I)_{\beta 2} (V_I^T)_{1\gamma} (V_I)_{\gamma 1}, \tag{II.11}$$

$$I_3(m_1, m_2, m_3) \equiv \frac{1}{m_2^2 - m_3^2} \left[\frac{m_2^2}{m_1^2 - m_2^2} \ln \left[\frac{m_1^2}{m_2^2} \right] - \frac{m_3^2}{m_1^2 - m_3^2} \ln \left[\frac{m_1^2}{m_3^2} \right] \right], \tag{II.12}$$

where each of (α, β, γ) runs form 1 to 2. v_Δ is subsequently induced as $v_\Delta \sim \mu_{\rm eff}$ [17]. Thus we have a theoretical reason that v_Δ is tiny, which is in good agreement with the experimental result from the ρ parameter.

Inert conditions: Since we have several charges bosons and negative contributions at the one-loop level, we have to take care in order not to have stable points of their potentials [103]. However since these conditions are not so strong, we do not discuss in details.

A. Fermion Sector

Let us fist define the exotic fermion as follow:

$$L'_{L(R)} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} N' \\ E'^- \end{bmatrix}_{L(R)}, \quad \Sigma_{L(R)} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma^-/\sqrt{2} & \Sigma_0 \\ \Sigma^{--} & -\Sigma^-/\sqrt{2} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{II.13}$$

Neutral exotic fermion: Then the mass matrix for the neutral fermion in the basis of $\vec{N}_L \equiv [N'_L, N'^c_R, \Sigma_L, \Sigma^c_R]^T_L$ is given by

$$M_N = \begin{bmatrix} M_{N_L} & M_L^* & 0 & M'^*_{N\Sigma} \\ M_L^\dagger & M_{N_R}^\dagger & M''^\dagger_{N\Sigma} & 0 \\ 0 & M''^*_{N\Sigma} & 0 & M_\Sigma^* \\ M'^\dagger_{N\Sigma} & 0 & M_\Sigma^\dagger & 0 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} M_{N_L} & M_L^* & 0 & M_{N\Sigma}^* \\ M_L^* & M_{N_R}^* & M_{N\Sigma}^* & 0 \\ 0 & M_{N\Sigma}^* & 0 & M_\Sigma^* \\ M_{N\Sigma}^* & 0 & M_\Sigma^* & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{II.14}$$

where $M_{N_{L(R)}} \equiv g_{L(R)} v_\Delta/\sqrt{2}$, $M'_{N\Sigma} \equiv f' v/\sqrt{2}$, $M''_{N\Sigma} \equiv f'' v/\sqrt{2}$, and we assume three generations case with positive real couplings with $f' \simeq f''$ for our simple analysis. M_N is diagonalized by unitary mixing matrix V_N as $V_N M_N V_N^T = M_N^{\rm diag.}$, and the mass eigenvector $\vec{\psi}_L \equiv [\psi_{1L}, \psi^c_{2R}, \psi_{3_L}, \psi^c_{4_R}]^T_L$ is defined by $\vec{N}_L \equiv V_N^T \vec{\psi}_L$. Moreover, we also assume M_N to be symmetric matrix, therefore, $M'_{N\Sigma} = M'^\dagger_{N\Sigma}$, $M''_{N\Sigma} = M''^\dagger_{N\Sigma}$, $M_\Sigma = M_\Sigma^\dagger$, and $M_{N_L} = M_{N_L}^\dagger$.

Singly charged exotic fermion: The mass matrix for the singly charged fermion in the basis of $\vec{E} \equiv [E'^-, \Sigma^-]^T_R$ is given by

$$M_E = \begin{bmatrix} M_L & M'_{N\Sigma} \\ M''_{N\Sigma} & M_\Sigma \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} M_L & M_{N\Sigma} \\ M_{N\Sigma} & M_\Sigma \end{bmatrix}, \tag{II.15}$$

where we extract the one generation, and assume $M_{N\Sigma} \equiv M'_{N\Sigma} = M''_{N\Sigma}$ for simplicity. Then the singly charged mass matrix can also be regarded as a symmetric one. Note here that the above assumption affects the neutral fermion mass matrix. Then M_E is diagonalized by 6×6 unitary mixing matrix V_C as $V_C M_E V_C^T = M_E^{\rm diag.}$, and the mass eigenvector $E^\pm$ is defined by $\vec{E} \equiv V_C^T \vec{\psi}^\pm$.

In summary, exotic neutral and charged fermions in Eq. (II.13) are written in terms mass eigenstates such that

$$\begin{aligned} &E'^\pm_a = (V_C^T)_{a\kappa} \psi^\pm_\kappa,\ \Sigma^\pm_a = (V_C^T)_{3+a,\kappa} \psi^\pm_\kappa \\ &N'_{aL} = (V_N^T)_{an} \psi_{nL},\ (N'_{aR})^c = (V_N^T)_{3+a,n} \psi_{nL},\ \Sigma^0_{aL} = (V_N^T)_{6+a,n} \psi_{nL},\ \Sigma^{0c}_{aR} = (V_N^T)_{9+a,n} \psi_{nL}, \end{aligned} \tag{II.16}$$

where $\kappa = 1 - 6$ and $n = 1 - 12$. Note that the masses of doubly charged fermions $\Sigma^{\pm\pm}_a$ are given by M_{Σ_a}.

B. Electroweak precision test

The S- and T-parameter constrain the masses and couplings of additional $SU(2)_L$ multiplet scalars and fermions. Thus in our numerical analysis later, we impose constraint by



FIG. 2: The loop diagrams for generating active neutrino mass matrix where cross mark in the diagrams indicate $\langle\Phi\rangle$.

the contributions to $S(T)$-parameters from new particles [145]. The $S(T)$-parameters are calculated from vacuum polarization diagram for Z and $W^{\pm}$ bosons, $i\Pi^{\mu\nu}_{Z(W)}$, where new particles run inside loop diagrams. Then we obtain

$$\Pi^{\mu\nu}_{Z}(q^2) = g^{\mu\nu}\frac{e^2}{c^2_W s^2_W}\left(\Pi_{33}(q^2) - 2s^2_W\Pi_{3Q}(q^2) - s^4_W\Pi_{QQ}(q^2)\right), \tag{II.17}$$

$$\Pi^{\mu\nu}_{W} = g^{\mu\nu}\frac{e^2}{s^2_W}\Pi_{\pm}(q^2), \tag{II.18}$$

$$\Delta S = \frac{4e^2}{\alpha}\left[\frac{d}{dq^2}\Pi_{33}(0) - \frac{d}{dq^2}\Pi_{3Q}(0)\right], \tag{II.19}$$

$$\Delta T = \frac{e^2}{\alpha s^2_W c^2_W m^2_Z}\left[\Pi_{\pm}(0) - \Pi_{33}(0)\right]. \tag{II.20}$$

The list of new particle contributions to $\Pi_{33,3Q,QQ,\pm}$ is lengthy and we summarize them in the Appendix A. Then we impose the constraint on the $S(T)$-parameters [146]:

$$\Delta S = 0.00 \pm 0.08, \tag{II.21}$$

$$\Delta T = 0.05 \pm 0.07, \tag{II.22}$$

where we apply the constraint with U-parameter to be fixed as zero. [1]

[1] An interesting discussion is found in Refs. [147–149], which discuss the relation between the oblique parameter and the lepton universality. In our case, a new contribution to the lepton universality occurs only through the f term at the box type of one-loop diagram, which could be subdominant.

C. Neutrino mass matrix

The neutrino mass matrix can be given by the linear combination at one-loop and two-loop diagrams which are depicted in Fig. 2, and their forms are respectively given by

$$(\mathcal{M}_\nu)_{ij} = (m_\nu)^I_{ij} + (m_\nu)^{II}_{ij}, \tag{II.23}$$

$$(m_\nu)^I_{ij} = \frac{6\delta m^2_{\chi^0\eta^0}\delta m^2_{\chi^0}}{(4\pi)^2}\sum_a^{1-3}(f)_{i,a}(M_{N\Sigma}\delta M_L M_{N\Sigma})_a(f)_{j,a}$$
$$\times\int\frac{dxdydzdw\delta(x+y+z+w-1)xyzw}{[xm^2_{\eta_0}+ym^2_{\chi_0}+zM^2_{N\Sigma_a}+wM^2_{N_{La}}]^4}\left[3-\frac{4M^2_{N_{La}}}{xm^2_{\eta_0}+ym^2_{\chi_0}+zM^2_{N\Sigma_a}+wM^2_{N_{La}}}\right], \tag{II.24}$$

$$(m_\nu)^{II}_{ij} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^4}\frac{\mu_\eta}{v_\Delta}\sum_a^{1-3}(f)_{i,a}(M_{N\Sigma}\delta M_L M_{N\Sigma})_a(f)_{j,a}\int\frac{dxdydzdw\delta(x+y+z+w-1)xyzw}{(w^2-w)(1-w)}$$
$$\times\int\frac{dXdYdZdW\delta(X+Y+Z+W-1)}{-X\Delta_1+YM^2_{L_a}+ZM^2_{\Sigma_a}+Wm^2_{\eta^\pm}}\left[2+w+\frac{M^2_{L_a}}{-X\Delta_1+YM^2_{L_a}+ZM^2_{\Sigma_a}+Wm^2_{\eta^\pm}}\right], \tag{II.25}$$

$$\Delta_1 = \frac{xM^2_{\Sigma_a}+yM^2_{L_a}+zm^2_{\eta^\pm}+wm^2_{\Delta^{\pm\pm}}}{w^2-w}, \tag{II.26}$$

where we has used a mass insertion approximation method, *i.e.*, $M_{N_L(R)}, M_{N\Sigma} << M_L, M_\Sigma$, we has defined $\delta M_L \equiv g_L v_\Delta/\sqrt{2}$, $\delta m^2_{\chi^0\eta^0} \equiv \lambda_0 vv'/4$ and $\delta m^2_{\chi^0} \equiv \mu_\chi v'/\sqrt{2}$, and m_{χ^0} and $m_{\eta^0}(=m_{\eta^\pm})$ denote mass parameter for quadratic terms of χ and η before symmetry breaking respectively. Hence Casas-Ibarra parametrization can be applicable [150] because two of the loop functions are common and diagonal. Then it is convenient to decompose above loop functions and compute separately, as shown in Appendix B.

Remind here that three flavors $\ell = 1-3$ are introduced to obtain the current neutrino oscillation data [2]. Then $(\mathcal{M}_\nu)_{ab}$ can generally be diagonalized by the Maki-Nakagawa-Sakata mixing matrix $V_{\rm MNS}$ (MNS) as

$$(\mathcal{M}_\nu)_{ab} = (V_{\rm MNS}D_\nu V^T_{\rm MNS})_{ab}, \quad D_\nu \equiv (m_{\nu_1}, m_{\nu_2}, m_{\nu_3}), \tag{II.27}$$

$$V_{\rm MNS} = \begin{bmatrix} c_{13}c_{12} & c_{13}s_{12} & s_{13}e^{-i\delta} \\ -c_{23}s_{12}-s_{23}s_{13}c_{12}e^{i\delta} & c_{23}c_{12}-s_{23}s_{13}s_{12}e^{i\delta} & s_{23}c_{13} \\ s_{23}s_{12}-c_{23}s_{13}c_{12}e^{i\delta} & -s_{23}c_{12}-c_{23}s_{13}s_{12}e^{i\delta} & c_{23}c_{13} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{II.28}$$

[2] To minimally satisfy the neutrino oscillation data, two flavors are enough.

where we neglect the Majorana phase as well as Dirac phase δ in the numerical analysis for simplicity. We have used the following experimental values with best fit [151]

$$s_{12}^2 \approx 0.306,\ s_{23}^2 \approx 0.42,\ s_{13}^2 \approx 0.021, \tag{II.29}$$
$$m_{\nu_1} = 0,\ \ m_{\nu_3}^2 = 2.4 \times 10^{-3}\ \mathrm{eV}^2,\ \ m_{\nu_2}^2 = (7.05) \times 10^{-5}\ \mathrm{eV}^2,$$

where we assume one of three neutrino masses is zero with normal ordering in our analysis below.

D. Neutrinoless double beta decay

A non-standard contribution to the neutrinoless double beta decay is generated at the one-loop level, and its form is given by [144]

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{G_F^2}{2} m_p^{-1} (\epsilon_3)_{ij} J^\mu J_\mu j_{ij} + \mathrm{h.c.}, \tag{II.30}$$
$$\begin{aligned}(\epsilon_3)_{ij} = {} & \epsilon^{(1)}_{R_{ij}}(H_1, V_{R_{12}}) + \epsilon^{(1)}_{L_{ij}}(H_1, V_{R_{12}}) + \epsilon^{(1)}_{R_{ij}}(H_2, V_{R_{11}}) + \epsilon^{(1)}_{L_{ij}}(H_2, V_{R_{11}}) \\ & + \epsilon^{(1)}_{R_{ij}}(A_1, iV_{I_{12}}) + \epsilon^{(1)}_{L_{ij}}(A_1, iV_{I_{12}}) + \epsilon^{(1)}_{R_{ij}}(A_2, iV_{I_{11}}) + \epsilon^{(1)}_{L_{ij}}(A_2, iV_{I_{11}}),\end{aligned} \tag{II.31}$$

where m_p is the proton mass, $J^\mu = \bar{u}\gamma^\mu d$, $j_{ij} \equiv 2\bar{\ell}_i \ell_j^c$. Here each of ϵ_3 is found as

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon^{(1)}_{L_{ij}}(H_1, V_{R_{12}}) \equiv {} & \sum_n^{1-12} \sum_{a,b}^{1-3} \sum_{\kappa,\zeta}^{1-6} \frac{f_{ia} f_{jb} (V_C^T)_{3+a,\kappa} (V_C)_{\kappa,3+a} (V_C^T)_{3+b,\zeta} (V_C)_{\zeta,3+b} (V_N^T)_{6+a,n} (V_N^T)_{6+b,n}}{2^3 (4\pi)^4} \\ & \times \int \frac{dxdydzdw\delta(x+y+z+w-1) V_{R_{12}}^2 M_{\psi_\kappa^\pm} M_{\psi_n} M_{\psi_\zeta^\pm}}{[x M_{\psi_\kappa^\pm}^2 + y M_{\psi_n}^2 + z M_{\psi_\zeta^\pm}^2 + w m_{H_1}^2]^2},\end{aligned} \tag{II.32}$$
$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon^{(1)}_{R_{ij}}(H_1, V_{R_{12}}) \equiv {} & \sum_n^{1-12} \sum_{a,b}^{1-3} \sum_{\kappa,\zeta}^{1-6} \frac{f_{ia} f_{jb} (V_C^T)_{3+a,\kappa} (V_C)_{\kappa,3+a} (V_C^T)_{3+b,\zeta} (V_C)_{\zeta,3+b} (V_N^T)_{9+a,n} (V_N^T)_{9+b,k}}{2^3 (4\pi)^4} \\ & \times \int \frac{dxdydzdw\delta(x+y+z+w-1) V_{R_{12}}^2 M_{\psi_\kappa^\pm} M_{\psi_n} M_{\psi_\zeta^\pm}}{[x M_{\psi_\kappa^\pm}^2 + y M_{\psi_n}^2 + z M_{\psi_\zeta^\pm}^2 + d m_{H_1}^2]^2}.\end{aligned} \tag{II.33}$$

This is known as the short distance effect, and $\epsilon_3 \equiv (\epsilon_3)_{11(=ee)}$ can approximately be interpreted as

$$[T_{1/2}^{0\nu\beta\beta}]^{-1} \simeq G_{01} |\epsilon_3|^2 |\mathcal{M}^{SD}|^2, \tag{II.34}$$

where G_{01} and $\mathcal{M}^{SD}$, which are dependent of the species of nuclei, are respectively given in Table 1 of Ref. [144]. For example, in case of ^{76}Ge, the recent results, which is obtained

	G_{01} [10^{-14} yr^{-1}]	$\lvert\mathcal{M}^{\nu}\rvert$	$\lvert\mathcal{M}^{SD}\rvert$
^{76}Ge	0.623	4.07	213
^{136}Xe	4.31	2.82	109
^{150}Nd	19.2	2.33	311
^{130}Te	4.09	3.63	198
^{82}Se	4270	3.48	192

TABLE III: Summary of the experimental data of neutrinoless beta decay.

Process	(i,j)	Experimental bounds (90% CL)
$\mu^- \to e^-\gamma$	$(2,1)$	$\mathrm{Br}(\mu \to e\gamma) < 4.2 \times 10^{-13}$
$\tau^- \to e^-\gamma$	$(3,1)$	$\mathrm{Br}(\tau \to e\gamma) < 3.3 \times 10^{-8}$
$\tau^- \to \mu^-\gamma$	$(3,2)$	$\mathrm{Br}(\tau \to \mu\gamma) < 4.4 \times 10^{-8}$

TABLE IV: Summary of $\ell_i \to \ell_j\gamma$ process and the lower bound of experimental data [153, 154].

by the phase I run of GERDA [152] place, are given by 2.1×10^{25} yr $< T_{1/2}^{0\nu\beta\beta}$ at 90 % confidential level (C.L.) with $G_{01} \simeq 0.623 \times 10^{-14}$ yr^{-1} and $\mathcal{M}^{SD} \simeq 213$. Notice here that ^{76}Ge currently gives the strongest bound on the neutrinoless double beta decay. As for the other bounds on nuclei, *i.g.*, we summarize Table III in Ref. [144].

E. lepton flavor violations and muon anomalous magnetic moment

$\ell_i \to \ell_j\gamma$: Our relevant lepton flavor violation process ($\ell_i \to \ell_j\gamma$) comes from the same terms of anomalous magnetic moment at the one-loop level in principle. Each of flavor dependent process has to satisfy the current upper bound, as can be seen in Table IV. However the contribution from y_S can be always negligible assuming the diagonal y_S. This is because this term does not contribute to the neutrino masses. Hence we consider the

Nucleus ${}^{A}_{Z}N$	$Z_{\rm eff}$	$\lvert F(-m_\mu^2)\rvert$	$\lvert\Gamma_{\rm capt}(10^6{\rm sec}^{-1})$	Experimental bounds (Future bound)
${}^{27}_{13}Al$	11.5	0.64	0.7054	$(R_{Al} \lesssim 10^{-16})$ [155]
${}^{48}_{22}Ti$	17.6	0.54	2.59	$R_{Ti} \lesssim 4.3\times10^{-12}$ [156] ($\lesssim 10^{-18}$ [155])
${}^{197}_{79}Au$	33.5	0.16	13.07	$R_{Au} \lesssim 7\times10^{-13}$ [157]
${}^{208}_{82}Pb$	34	0.15	13.45	$R_{Pb} \lesssim 4.6\times10^{-11}$ [158]

TABLE V: Summary for the the μ-e conversion in various nuclei: Z, $Z_{\rm eff}$, $F(q)$, $\Gamma_{\rm capt}$, and the bounds on the capture rate R.

contribution from y_L only. Then the branching form is given as

$$\mathrm{Br}(\ell_i\to\ell_j\gamma) \approx \frac{48\pi^3\alpha_{em}C_i}{G_F^2 m_{\ell_i}^2}\left(m_{\ell_i}^2|a_R|^2 + m_{\ell_j}^2|a_L|^2\right), \tag{II.35}$$

$$(a_R)_{ij} = -\sum_{a,b}^{1-3}\frac{f_{ia}^* f_{jb}}{16\pi^2}\int dxdydz\delta(x+y+z-1)xy \left(\frac{\delta_{ab}}{\Delta[m_{\Sigma_a^{\pm\pm}}, m_{\eta^\pm}]} + \frac{2\delta_{ab}}{\Delta[m_{\eta^\pm}, m_{\Sigma_a^{\pm\pm}}]} + \sum_\kappa^{1-6}\frac{(V_C^T)_{3+b,\kappa}(V_C)_{\kappa,3+a}}{2\Delta[m_{\eta^0}, m_{\psi_\kappa^\pm}]}\right), \tag{II.36}$$

$$(a_L)_{ij} = -\sum_{a,b'}^{1-3}\frac{f_{ia}^* f_{jb}}{16\pi^2}\int dxdydz\delta(x+y+z-1)xz \left(\frac{\delta_{ab}}{\Delta[m_{\Sigma_a^{\pm\pm}}, m_{\eta^\pm}]} + \frac{2\delta_{ab}}{\Delta[m_{\eta^\pm}, m_{\Sigma_a^{\pm\pm}}]} + \sum_\kappa^{1-6}\frac{(V_C^T)_{3+b,\kappa}(V_C)_{\kappa,3+a}}{2\Delta[m_{\eta^0}, m_{\psi_\kappa^\pm}]}\right), \tag{II.37}$$

where

$$\Delta[m_1, m_2] \equiv (x^2-x)m_{\ell_i}^2 + xm_1^2 + (y+z)m_2^2 + xz(m_{\ell_i}^2 - m_{\ell_j}^2), \tag{II.38}$$

and G_F is Fermi constant, α_{em} is the fine structure constraint. $C_i \approx (1, 1/5)$ for $i = (\mu, \tau)$.

$\mu - e$ *conversion*: The $\mu - e$ conversion rate R is given by [159]

$$R = \frac{\Gamma(\mu\to e)}{\Gamma_{\rm capt}}, \tag{II.39}$$

$$\Gamma(\mu\to e) = C_{\mu e}\left[\left|Z\left[(b_L)_{21} - (a_R)_{21}\right] - (b_L)_{21}\frac{(2Z+N)A_u + (Z+2N)A_d}{2(s_{tw}c_{tw})^2}\right|^2 + |Z(a_L)_{21}|^2\right], \tag{II.40}$$

where $C_{\mu e} \equiv 4\alpha_{\rm em}^5\frac{Z_{\rm eff}^4|F(q)|^2 m_\mu^5}{Z}$, $A_u \equiv -\frac{1}{2} - \frac{4}{3}s_{tw}^2$, $A_d \equiv -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{3}s_{tw}^2$, $\sin^2\theta_w \equiv s_{tw}^2 \approx 0.23$. The values of $\Gamma_{\rm capt}$, Z, N, $Z_{\rm eff}$, and $F(q)$ are summarized in Table V. b_L^V in our model is given

by

$$(b_L)_{21} = -\sum_{a,b=1}^{3} \frac{f_{1a} f_{b2}^{\dagger}}{(4\pi)^2} \int dxdydz\delta(x+y+z-1)(x+z)(1-x-z)$$
$$\times \left[\frac{2}{\Delta[m_{\eta^{\pm}}, m_{\Sigma^{\pm\pm}}]} + \sum_{\kappa}^{1-6} \frac{(V_C^T)_{3+a,\kappa}(V_C^*)_{\kappa,3+b}}{2\Delta[m_{\eta^0}, m_{\psi_{\kappa}^{\pm}}]} \right]. \tag{II.41}$$

Muon anomalous magnetic moment ($(g-2)_\mu$) is also given in terms of $a_{L/R}$ as

$$\Delta a_\mu \approx -m_\mu^2[(a_L)_{22} + (a_R)_{22}], \tag{II.42}$$

which can be tested in the current experiments such as [160].

F. Dark matter

Here we focus on the χ_R dominated DM candidate denoting $X \simeq \chi_R$, therefore all the cross sections proportional to s_R^4 are neglected due to $s_R << 1$. The relevant interactions for calculating DM physics are obtained from scalar potential. In our analysis, they are parametrized as

$$-\mathcal{L} \supset \sum_{A=1}^{3} \mu_{2Xh_A} X X h_A + \sum_{A=1}^{3} \mu_{h_A 2h_{SM}} h_A h_{SM} h_{SM} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \mu_{XH_\alpha h_{SM}} X H_\alpha h_{SM}$$
$$+ \lambda_{2X2h_{SM}} (X h_{SM})^2. \tag{II.43}$$

These interactions induce DM annihilation processes: $XX \to \bar{f}_{SM} f_{SM}$, $XX \to VV$ and $XX \to h_{SM} h_{SM}$ where f_{SM} denotes SM fermions and $V = W, Z$. Then the relic density can be written by [161]

$$\Omega h^2 \approx \frac{4.28 \times 10^9 x_f^2}{\sqrt{g^*} M_{pl}[(-3+4x_f)a_{eff} + 12 b_{eff}]}, \tag{II.44}$$

where $g^* \approx 100$, $M_P \approx 1.22 \times 10^{19}$, $x_f \approx 25$, and a_{eff} and b_{eff} are obtained by expanding the thermally averaged cross section as

$$\sigma v_{rel} \approx \frac{1}{32\pi^2 s} \sum_{fin} \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_{fin}^2}{s}} \int d\Omega |\bar{M}_{fin}|^2 \approx a_{eff} + b_{eff} v_{rel}^2, \tag{II.45}$$

where $\int d\Omega \equiv 2\pi \int_0^\pi d\theta \sin\theta$, and $|\bar{M}_{fin}|^2 \approx |\bar{M}_{f_{SM}(\approx t,b,c,\tau)}|^2 + |\bar{M}_{V(=Z,W)}|^2 + |\bar{M}_{h_{SM}}|^2$, with

$$|\bar{M}_{f_{SM}}|^2 \approx 8C_f \left| \sum_{A=1}^{3} \frac{\mu_{2Xh_A} m_f (O_R)^T_{2A}}{v(s - m^2_{h_A})} \right|^2 (s - 4m_f^2), \tag{II.46}$$

$$|\bar{M}_V|^2 \approx C_V \left| \sum_{A=1}^{3} \frac{2\mu_{2Xh_A} G_V^{(A)}}{s - m^2_{h_A}} \right|^2 \left(3 + \frac{s^2}{4m_V^4} - \frac{s}{m_V^2} \right), \quad (V = W, Z), \tag{II.47}$$

$$|\bar{M}_{h_{SM}}|^2 \approx \frac{1}{2} \left| \lambda_{2X2h_{SM}} + \sum_{A=1}^{3} \frac{\mu_{2Xh_A}\mu_{h_A 2h_{SM}}}{s - m^2_{h_A}} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} (\mu_{XH_\alpha h_{SM}})^2 \left(\frac{1}{t - m^2_{H_\alpha}} + \frac{1}{u - m^2_{H_\alpha}} \right) \right|^2 . \tag{II.48}$$

Here $C_f = 1$ for $f = \tau$, $C_f = 3$ for $f = t, b, c$, $C_W = 1$, $C_V = 1/2$, $s,\ t,\ u$ are Mandelstam valuables, and

$$G_W^{(A)} \equiv g_2^2 \left[2v_\Delta (O_R)^T_{1A} + v(O_R)^T_{2A} \right], \qquad G_Z^{(A)} \equiv \frac{2g_2^2}{c_{tw}^2} \left[2v_\Delta (O_R)^T_{1A} + \frac{v}{2}(O_R)^T_{2A} \right]. \tag{II.49}$$

Direct detection: We have a spin independent scattering cross section with nucleon through $h_{1,2,3}$ portal processes and its form is given by

$$\sigma_N \approx 0.082 \frac{m_N^4}{\pi v^2 M_X^2} \left| \sum_{A=1}^{3} \frac{\mu_{2Xh_A (O_R)^T_{2A}}}{m^2_{h_A}} \right|^2 , \tag{II.50}$$

where the mass of nucleon, which is symbolized by m_N, is around 0.939 GeV. Recent LUX experiment in 2016 reported the lower bound on $\sigma_N \lesssim 2.2\times 10^{-46}$ cm^2 at 50 GeV mass range of DM at the 90 % confidential level [162].

III. NUMERICAL RESULTS

Now that all the formulae have been provided, we have a numerical analysis. Here we provide a benchmark point to satisfy all the constraints and discuss our predictions. Once

input parameters are given by

$$(m_{h_1}, m_{h_{\rm SM}}, m_{h_3}, m_a, m_C) \approx (488, 125, 418, 577, 442)\ [\text{GeV}], \quad M_\Sigma \approx \text{diag.}(890, 795, 826)\ [\text{GeV}]$$
$$M_{N_L} \approx \text{diag.}(0.886, 0.417, 0.237)\ [\text{GeV}], \quad M_{N_R} \approx \text{diag.}(0.409, 0.402, 0.247)\ [\text{GeV}],$$
$$M_{N_\Sigma} \approx \text{diag.}(14.7, 9.06, 7.36)\ [\text{GeV}], \quad M_L \approx \text{diag.}(570, 509, 561)\ [\text{GeV}],$$
$$(M^2)^{inert}_{\rm CP-even(odd)} \approx \begin{bmatrix} 2.18(3.36)\times 10^5 & 4299 \\ 4299 & 3.02(3.48)\times 10^5 \end{bmatrix}\ [\text{GeV}^2],$$
$$(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) \approx \text{diag.}(3.61, 4.06, 2.38), \quad (m_{\eta^0}(=m_{\eta^\pm}), m_{\chi^0}, m_{\Delta^{\pm\pm}}) \approx (970, 426, 959)\ [\text{GeV}],$$
$$\delta M_L \approx \text{diag.}(0.00786, 0.00368, 0.00215)\ [\text{GeV}], \quad (\delta m_{\chi^0\eta^0}, \delta m_{\chi^0}) \approx \text{diag.}(0.905, 0.178)\ [\text{GeV}],$$
$$\mu_\eta \approx 420\ \text{GeV}, \quad v_\Delta \approx 0.871\ [\text{GeV}], \quad \mu_{2Xh_A} \approx (0.00237, 0.000175, 0.0642)\ [\text{GeV}],$$
$$\mu_{h_A 2h_{SM}} \approx (0.741, 0.0608, 0.0311)\ [\text{GeV}], \quad \mu_{XH_\alpha h_{SM}} \approx (3.66, 0.0404)\ [\text{GeV}],$$
$$((\theta_R)_{23}, (\theta_R)_{13}, (\theta_R)_{12}, \theta_I, \theta_C) \approx (0.0676, 3.27, 0.0990, 0, 0), \quad \lambda_{2X2h_{SM}} \approx 0.293, \qquad \text{(III.1)}$$

then the output physical values are found as

$$M_X \approx 466\ \text{GeV}, \quad \Omega h^2 \approx 0.120, \quad T^{0\nu\beta\beta}_{1/2}(\text{Ge}) \approx 3.43\times 10^{86}\ \text{yr}, \quad T^{0\nu\beta\beta}_{1/2}(\text{Xe}) \approx 1.89\times 10^{86}\ \text{yr},$$
$$T^{0\nu\beta\beta}_{1/2}(\text{Nd}) \approx 5.21\times 10^{84}\ \text{yr}, \quad T^{0\nu\beta\beta}_{1/2}(\text{Te}) \approx 6.04\times 10^{85}\ \text{yr}, \quad T^{0\nu\beta\beta}_{1/2}(\text{Se}) \approx 9.73\times 10^{85}\ \text{yr},$$
$$BR(\mu\to e\gamma) \approx 2.52\times 10^{-20}, \quad BR(\tau\to e\gamma) \approx 1.59\times 10^{-22}, \quad BR(\tau\to \mu\gamma) \approx 6.60\times 10^{-23},$$
$$R_{\rm Al} \approx 2.12\times 10^{-22}, \quad R_{\rm Ti} \approx 3.88\times 10^{-22}, \quad R_{\rm Au} \approx 3.34\times 10^{-22}, \quad R_{\rm Pb} \approx 3.17\times 10^{-22},$$
$$\sigma_N \approx 1.37\times 10^{-53}\ \text{cm}^2, \quad \Delta S \approx 0.0415 \quad \Delta T \approx 0.00689, \quad \Delta a_\mu \approx 2.00\times 10^{-16}, \qquad \text{(III.2)}$$

where Yukawa coupling f is determined by the Casas-Ibarra parametrization, and the typical order is $\mathcal{O}(10^{-4})$.

Here several remarks are in order:

1. *Relic density of DM* can be controlled by the output quartic coupling $\lambda_{2X2h_{SM}}$ without affecting the other phenomenologies. Thus any value of the DM mass is possible, depending on its coupling. Also trilinear terms μ_{2Xh_A}, $\mu_{h_A 2h_{SM}}$, $\mu_{XH_\alpha h_{SM}}$ can also be valid to control the relic density, although their too much heavier terms are conflict with the direct detection search.

2. Although there are *non standard interactions of neutrinoless double beta decay at the box type one-loop level* in our model, the constraints are much weaker than the current

bounds. Thus its dominant contribution arises from the standard interaction. The main reason of this smallness comes from the tiny Yukawa coupling $f = \mathcal{O}(10^{-4})$. [3] Thus all the other phenomenologies related to this coupling such as $\ell_i \to \ell_j \gamma$, $\mu - e$ conversion, and muon $g-2$, are also very small and easily be evaded the current experimental bounds.

3. It might be worthwhile mentioning the future testability of $\mu - e$ conversion. Especially the sensitivity of R with nucleons Al and Ti will be significantly improved by $R_{Al} \lesssim 10^{-16}$ and $R_{Ti} \lesssim 10^{-18}$. Although our results are still weaker than these future bounds, *this could be one of the possibilities to discriminate the other radiative neutrino models, because their typical lowest bounds are at most* $\mathcal{O}(10^{-15}) - \mathcal{O}(10^{-16})$.

4. Due to the constraints of oblique parameters, masses related to this constraints cannot be taken to be so freely. However one might control their masses by changing their mixings. In this case, one has to take care of the mixings between the SM Higgs and the other two heavier CP-even bosons, which are restricted by the 8 TeV collider at Large Hadron Collider (LHC), and also notice that mass insertion approximations are not valid. Thus more complicated analyses has to be achieved.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have studied a one-loop induced radiative neutrino model, in which we have shown an allowed bench mark point to satisfy the observed neutrino masses, LFVs, and the relic density of DM satisfying the current upper bound on the spin independent scattering with nucleon. Also we have shown the non-standard contribution to the muon $g-2$ and neutrinoless double beta decay in our model. However, due to the small Yukawa coupling whose typical order is 10^{-4}, all the constraints such as LFVs are much weaker than the current upper bounds. Thus muon $g-2$ and neutrinoless double beta decay are also very small in the typical range. But we might discriminate the other radiative neutrino models from our model by the future experiment of $\mu - e$ conversion.

[3] If one selects a specific parametrization, one might find the enhanced value of Δa_μ without conflict with LFVs. However since this is something like a fine-tuning, we do not consider such a specific case.

Before closing, we would like to comment possible collider signal of the model. Since we have scalar and exotic leptons in $SU(2)_L$ triplet with hypercharge ± 1, there are doubly charged Higgs and leptons which have mass of $\lesssim 1$ TeV and would provide specific signature. They can be produced via electroweak process at the LHC. Then doubly charged Higgs dominantly decays into same sign W boson pair or singly charged exotic leptons decaying into DM and SM fermions, depending on Yukawa couplings and triplet VEV. On the other hand, doubly charged leptons also decay into SM charged leptons and inert Higgs decaying into DM and SM fermions. Thus these doubly charged particles have cascade decay mode providing DM plus SM fermions. Since detailed analysis is beyond the scope of this paper it will be given in elsewhere.

Acknowledgments

H.O. expresses his sincere gratitude toward all the KIAS members, Korean cordial persons, foods, culture, weather, and all the other things. This work was supported by the Korea Neutrino Research Center which is established by the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) grant funded by the Korea government(MSIP) (No. 2009-0083526) (Y.O.).

Appendix A: New particle contribution to vacuum polarization diagram

Here we summarize contributions to $\Pi_{\pm}(q^2)$, $\Pi_{33}(q^2)$, $\Pi_{3Q}(q^2)$ and $\Pi_{QQ}(q^2)$ in Eq. (II.17) and (II.18) from new particles in our model.

For Vacuum polarization diagram of $W^{\pm}W^{\pm}$

$\psi_\kappa^{\pm}$-ψ_n loop contribution :

$$\Pi_{\pm}^{\psi_\kappa^{\pm}\psi_n}(q^2) = -\frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2}\left[(\omega_{n\kappa}\omega_{n\kappa}+\omega'_{n\kappa}\omega'_{n\kappa})F_\omega(q^2,m^2_{\psi_n},m^2_{\psi_\kappa^{\pm}})+(\omega_{n\kappa}\omega'_{n\kappa}+\omega'_{n\kappa}\omega_{n\kappa})\bar{F}_\omega(q^2,m^2_{\psi_n},m^2_{\psi_\kappa^{\pm}})\right]. \tag{A.1}$$

where

$$\omega_{n\kappa} = \sum_{a=1}^{3}\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(V_N)_{na}(V_C^T)_{a\kappa} + (V_N)_{n,6+a}(V_C^T)_{3+a,\kappa}\right]$$
$$\omega'_{n\kappa} = \sum_{a=1}^{3}\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(V_N)_{n,3+a}(V_C^T)_{a\kappa} + (V_N)_{n,9+a}(V_C^T)_{3+a,\kappa}\right]$$
$$F_\omega(q^2, m_P^2, m_Q^2) = \int dxdy\delta(1-x-y)(\Upsilon - \ln\Delta_{PQ})[2x(1-x)q^2 - xm_P^2 - ym_Q^2]$$
$$\bar{F}_\omega(q^2, m_P^2, m_Q^2) = \int dxdy\delta(1-x-y)(\Upsilon - \ln\Delta_{PQ})m_P m_Q$$
$$\Delta_{PQ} = -q^2x(1-x) + xm_P^2 + ym_Q^2, \quad \Upsilon = \frac{2}{\epsilon} - \gamma - \ln(4\pi). \tag{A.2}$$

$\psi_\kappa^\pm$-$\Sigma_a^{\pm\pm}$ loop contribution :

$$\Pi_\pm^{\psi_\kappa^\pm \Sigma_a^{\pm\pm}}(q^2) = -\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}(V_C)_{\kappa,3+a}(V_C^T)_{3+a,\kappa}F'_\omega(q^2, m^2_{\psi_\kappa^\pm}, m^2_{\Sigma_a}),$$
$$F'_\omega(q^2, m_P^2, m_Q^2) = F_\omega(q^2, m_P^2, m_Q^2) + \bar{F}_\omega(q^2, m_P^2, m_Q^2). \tag{A.3}$$

$H_\alpha^\pm$-h_A loop contribution :

$$\Pi_\pm^{H_\alpha^\pm h_A}(q^2) = \frac{2}{(4\pi)^2}\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(O_C^T)_{1\alpha}(O_R^T)_{1A} + \frac{1}{2}(O_C^T)_{2\alpha}(O_R^T)_{2A}\right]^2 G(q^2, m^2_{h_A}, m^2_{H_\alpha^\pm}). \tag{A.4}$$

where

$$G(q^2, m_P^2, m_Q^2) = \int dxdy\delta(1-x-y)\Delta_{PQ}[\Upsilon + 1 - \ln\Delta_{PQ}]. \tag{A.5}$$

$H_\alpha^\pm$-a_β loop contribution :

$$\Pi_\pm^{H_\alpha^\pm a_\beta}(q^2) = \frac{2}{(4\pi)^2}\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(O_C^T)_{1\alpha}(O_I^T)_{1\beta} + \frac{1}{2}(O_C^T)_{2\alpha}(O_I^T)_{2\beta}\right]^2 G(q^2, m^2_{a_\beta}, m^2_{H_\alpha^\pm}). \tag{A.6}$$

$\eta^\pm$-A_α loop contribution :

$$\Pi_\pm^{\eta^\pm A_\alpha}(q^2) = \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2}(V_I^T)_{1\alpha}(V_I^T)_{1\alpha}G(q^2, m^2_{\eta^\pm}, m^2_{A_\alpha}). \tag{A.7}$$

$\Delta^{\pm\pm}$-$H_\alpha^\pm$ loop contribution :

$$\Pi_\pm^{\Delta^{\pm\pm} H_\alpha^\pm}(q^2) = \frac{2}{(4\pi)^2}(O_C^T)_{1\alpha}(O_C^T)_{1\alpha}G(q^2, m^2_{H_\alpha^\pm}, m^2_\Delta). \tag{A.8}$$

$\eta^\pm$-H_α loop contribution :

$$\Pi_\pm^{\eta^\pm H_\alpha}(q^2) = \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2}(V_R^T)_{1\alpha}(V_R^T)_{1\alpha}G(q^2, m^2_{\eta^\pm}, m^2_{H_\alpha}). \tag{A.9}$$

h_A loop contribution :

$$\Pi_{\pm}^{h_A}(q^2) = -\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\left[\frac{1}{2}(O_R^T)_{1A}(O_R^T)_{1A} + \frac{1}{4}(O_R^T)_{2A}(O_R^T)_{2A}\right]^2 H(m_{h_A}^2), \tag{A.10}$$

where

$$H(m_P^2) = m_P^2[\Upsilon + 1 - \ln m_P^2]. \tag{A.11}$$

a_α loop contribution :

$$\Pi_{\pm}^{a_\alpha}(q^2) = -\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\left[\frac{1}{2}(O_I^T)_{1\alpha}(O_I^T)_{1\alpha} + \frac{1}{4}(O_I^T)_{2\alpha}(O_I^T)_{2\alpha}\right]^2 H(m_{a_\alpha}^2). \tag{A.12}$$

H_α loop contribution :

$$\Pi_{\pm}^{H_\alpha}(q^2) = -\frac{1}{4(4\pi)^2}(V_R^T)_{1\alpha}(V_R^T)_{1\alpha}H(m_{H_\alpha}^2). \tag{A.13}$$

A_α loop contribution :

$$\Pi_{\pm}^{A_\alpha}(q^2) = -\frac{1}{4(4\pi)^2}(V_I^T)_{1\alpha}(V_I^T)_{1\alpha}H(m_{A_\alpha}^2). \tag{A.14}$$

$H_\alpha^\pm$ loop contribution :

$$\Pi_{\pm}^{H_\alpha^\pm}(q^2) = -\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\left[2(O_C^T)_{1\alpha}(O_C^T)_{1\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}(O_C^T)_{2\alpha}(O_C^T)_{2\alpha}\right]^2 H(m_{H_\alpha^\pm}^2). \tag{A.15}$$

$\eta^\pm$ loop contribution :

$$\Pi_{\pm}^{\eta^\pm}(q^2) = -\frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2}H(m_{\eta^\pm}^2). \tag{A.16}$$

$\Delta^{\pm\pm}$ loop contribution :

$$\Pi_{\pm}^{\Delta^{\pm\pm}}(q^2) = -\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}H(m_\Delta^2). \tag{A.17}$$

For Vacuum polarization diagram of ZZ

ψ_n-ψ_m loop contribution :

$$\Pi_{33}^{\psi_n\psi_m}(q^2) = -\frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2}X_{nm}X_{mn}F_\omega(q^2, m_{\psi_n}^2, m_{\psi_m}^2),$$

$$X_{nm} = \sum_{a=1}^{3}\left[\frac{1}{2}(V_N)_{na}(V_N^T)_{am} + \frac{1}{2}(V_N)_{n,3+a}(V_N^T)_{3+a,m} + (V_N)_{n,6+a}(V_N^T)_{6+a,m} + (V_N)_{n,9+a}(V_N^T)_{9+a,m}\right]. \tag{A.18}$$

$\psi_\kappa^\pm$-$\psi_\zeta^\pm$ *loop contribution* :

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_{33}^{\psi_\kappa^\pm\psi_\zeta^\pm}(q^2) &= -\frac{1}{4(4\pi)^2}C_{\kappa\zeta}C_{\zeta\kappa}F'_\omega(q^2,m^2_{\psi_\kappa^\pm},m^2_{\psi_\zeta^\pm}),\\
\Pi_{3Q}^{\psi_\kappa^\pm\psi_\zeta^\pm}(q^2) &= -\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\left[\frac{1}{2}C_{\kappa\zeta}C_{\zeta\kappa}+\frac{1}{4}(C_{\kappa\zeta}D_{\zeta\kappa}+D_{\kappa\zeta}C_{\zeta\kappa})\right]F'_\omega(q^2,m^2_{\psi_\kappa^\pm},m^2_{\psi_\zeta^\pm}),\\
\Pi_{QQ}^{\psi_\kappa^\pm\psi_\zeta^\pm}(q^2) &= -\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\left[C_{\zeta\kappa}C_{\kappa\zeta}+C_{\kappa\zeta}D_{\zeta\kappa}+D_{\kappa\zeta}C_{\zeta\kappa}+D_{\kappa\zeta}D_{\zeta\kappa}\right]F'_\omega(q^2,m^2_{\psi_\kappa^\pm},m^2_{\psi_\zeta^\pm}).
\end{aligned}\tag{A.19}$$

where

$$C_{\kappa\zeta}=\sum_{a=1}^{3}(V_C)_{\kappa a}(V_C^T)_{a\zeta},\quad D_{\kappa\zeta}=\sum_{a=1}^{3}(V_C)_{\kappa,3+a}(V_C^T)_{3+a,\zeta}.\tag{A.20}$$

$\Sigma_a^{\pm\pm}$-$\Sigma_a^{\pm\pm}$ *loop contribution* :

$$\Pi_{33}^{\Sigma_a^{\pm\pm}}(q^2)=\frac{1}{2}\Pi_{3Q}^{\Sigma_a^{\pm\pm}}(q^2)=\frac{1}{4}\Pi_{QQ}^{\Sigma_a^{\pm\pm}}(q^2)=-\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}F'_\omega(q^2,m^2_{\Sigma_a},m^2_{\Sigma_a}).$$

h_A-a_α *loop contribution* :

$$\Pi_{33}^{h_A a_\alpha}(q^2)=\frac{2}{(4\pi)^2}\left[(O_R^T)_{1A}(O_I^T)_{1\alpha}+\frac{1}{2}(O_R^T)_{2A}(O_I^T)_{2\alpha}\right]^2 G(q^2,m^2_{h_A},m^2_{a_\alpha}),\tag{A.21}$$

$H_\alpha^\pm$-$H_\beta^\pm$ *loop contribution* :

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_{33}^{H_\alpha^\pm H_\beta^\pm}(q^2) &= \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2}B_{\alpha\beta}B_{\beta\alpha}G(q^2,m^2_{H_\alpha^\pm},m^2_{H_\beta^\pm}),\\
\Pi_{3Q}^{H_\alpha^\pm H_\beta^\pm}(q^2) &= \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2}\left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(A_{\alpha\beta}B_{\beta\alpha}+B_{\alpha\beta}A_{\beta\alpha}\right)+2B_{\alpha\beta}B_{\beta\alpha}\right]G(q^2,m^2_{H_\alpha^\pm},m^2_{H_\beta^\pm}),\\
\Pi_{QQ}^{H_\alpha^\pm H_\beta^\pm}(q^2) &= \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2}\left[A_{\alpha\beta}A_{\beta\alpha}-2\left(A_{\alpha\beta}B_{\beta\alpha}+B_{\alpha\beta}A_{\beta\alpha}\right)+4B_{\alpha\beta}B_{\beta\alpha}\right]G(q^2,m^2_{H_\alpha^\pm},m^2_{H_\beta^\pm}),
\end{aligned}\tag{A.22}$$

where

$$A_{\alpha\beta}=(O_C^T)_{1\alpha}(O_C^T)_{1\beta},\quad B_{\alpha\beta}=(O_C^T)_{2\alpha}(O_C^T)_{2\beta}.\tag{A.23}$$

$\Delta^{\pm\pm}$-$\Delta^{\pm\pm}$ *loop contribution* :

$$\Pi_{33}^{\Delta^{\pm\pm}\Delta^{\pm\pm}}(q^2)=\frac{1}{2}\Pi_{3Q}^{\Delta^{\pm\pm}\Delta^{\pm\pm}}(q^2)=\frac{1}{4}\Pi_{QQ}^{\Delta^{\pm\pm}\Delta^{\pm\pm}}(q^2)=\frac{2}{(4\pi)^2}G(q^2,m^2_\Delta,m^2_\Delta).\tag{A.24}$$

$\eta^\pm$-$\eta^\pm$ *loop contribution* :

$$\Pi_{33}^{\eta^\pm\eta^\pm}(q^2)=\frac{1}{2}\Pi_{3Q}^{\eta^\pm\eta^\pm}(q^2)=\frac{1}{4}\Pi_{QQ}^{\eta^\pm\eta^\pm}(q^2)=\frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2}G(q^2,m^2_{\eta^\pm},m^2_{\eta^\pm}).\tag{A.25}$$

H_α-A_β loop contribution :

$$\Pi_{33}^{H_\alpha A_\beta}(q^2) = \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2}\left[(V_R^T)_{1\alpha}(V_I^T)_{1\beta}\right]^2 G(q^2, m_{H_\alpha}^2, m_{A_\beta}^2). \tag{A.26}$$

h_A loop contribution :

$$\Pi_{33}^{h_A}(q^2) = -\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\left[(O_R^T)_{1A}(O_R^T)_{1A} + \frac{1}{4}(O_R^T)_{2A}(O_R^T)_{2A}\right] H(m_{h_A}^2). \tag{A.27}$$

a_α loop contribution :

$$\Pi_{33}^{a_\alpha}(q^2) = -\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\left[(O_I^T)_{1\alpha}(O_I^T)_{1\alpha} + \frac{1}{4}(O_I^T)_{2\alpha}(O_I^T)_{2\alpha}\right] H(m_{a_\alpha}^2). \tag{A.28}$$

$H_\alpha^\pm$ loop contribution :

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_{33}^{H_\alpha^\pm}(q^2) &= -\frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2} B_{\alpha\alpha} H(m_{H_\alpha^\pm}^2), \\
\Pi_{3Q}^{H_\alpha^\pm}(q^2) &= -\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} B_{\alpha\alpha} H(m_{H_\alpha^\pm}^2), \\
\Pi_{QQ}^{H_\alpha^\pm}(q^2) &= -\frac{2}{(4\pi)^2}[A_{\alpha\alpha} + B_{\alpha\alpha}] H(m_{H_\alpha^\pm}^2),
\end{aligned} \tag{A.29}$$

$\Delta^{\pm\pm}$ loop contribution :

$$\Pi_{33}^{\Delta^{\pm\pm}}(q^2) = \frac{1}{2}\Pi_{3Q}^{\Delta^{\pm\pm}}(q^2) = \frac{1}{4}\Pi_{QQ}^{\Delta^{\pm\pm}}(q^2) = -\frac{2}{(4\pi)^2} H(m_\Delta^2). \tag{A.30}$$

$\eta^\pm$ loop contribution :

$$\Pi_{33}^{\eta^\pm}(q^2) = \frac{1}{2}\Pi_{3Q}^{\eta^\pm}(q^2) = \frac{1}{4}\Pi_{QQ}^{\eta^\pm}(q^2) = -\frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2} H(m_{\eta^\pm}^2). \tag{A.31}$$

H_α loop contribution :

$$\Pi_{33}^{H_\alpha}(q^2) = -\frac{1}{4(4\pi)^2}(V_R^T)_{1\alpha}(V_R^T)_{1\alpha} H(m_{H_\alpha}^2). \tag{A.32}$$

A_α loop contribution :

$$\Pi_{33}^{A_\alpha}(q^2) = -\frac{1}{4(4\pi)^2}(V_I^T)_{1\alpha}(V_I^T)_{1\alpha} H(m_{A_\alpha}^2). \tag{A.33}$$

Appendix B: Loop functions

We define the functions F_i's and $F_{3,2}$ as follows.

For $n \geq 1$:

$$F_2(\alpha, n, A, B) \equiv \int_0^1 dx \frac{x^\alpha}{(Ax+B)^n}, \tag{B.1}$$

$$F_3(\alpha, n, A, B, C) \equiv \int_0^1 dx \int_0^{1-x} dy \frac{xy^\alpha}{(Ax+By+C)^n}, \tag{B.2}$$

$$F_4(\alpha, n, A, B, C, D) \equiv \int_0^1 dx \int_0^{1-x} dy \int_0^{1-x-y} dz \frac{xyz^\alpha}{(Ax+By+Cz+D)^n}, \tag{B.3}$$

$$F_{3,2}(\alpha, \beta, n, A, B, C) \equiv \int_0^1 dx \int_0^{1-x} dy \frac{x^\alpha y^\beta}{(Ax+By+C)^n}. \tag{B.4}$$

For $n = 0$;

$$F_2(\alpha, 0, A, B) \equiv \int_0^1 dx x^\alpha \ln(Ax+B). \tag{B.5}$$

For $n = -1$;

$$F_2(0, -1, A, B) \equiv \int_0^1 dx x \ln(Ax+B). \tag{B.6}$$

Where n's and α's are integers.

F_2 are calculated as follows.

For $n \geq 2$

$$F_2(\alpha, n, A, B) = \frac{1}{A^\alpha} \left(F_2(0, n-\alpha, A, B) - \sum_{\beta=0}^{\alpha-1} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} A^\beta B^{\alpha-\beta} F_2(\beta, n, A, B) \right), \tag{B.7}$$

Where $\alpha \geq 1$. In the cases of $n = -1, 0, 1$, F_2's are as follows.

$$F_2(\alpha, 0, A, B) = \frac{-1}{A(n-1)} \left(\frac{1}{(A+B)^{n-1}} - \frac{1}{B^{n-1}} \right), \tag{B.8}$$

$$F_2(\alpha, 1, A, B) = \frac{1}{A} \left(F_2(0, n-1, A, B) - B F_2(0, n, A, B) \right), \tag{B.9}$$

$$F_2(0, 1, A, B) = \frac{1}{A} \ln \left(\frac{A+B}{B} \right), \tag{B.10}$$

$$F_2(0, 0, A, B) = \frac{A+B}{A} \ln(A+B) - \frac{B}{A} \ln(B) - 1, \tag{B.11}$$

$$F_2(0, -1, A, B) = \frac{1}{2A^2} \left((A+B)^2 \ln(A+B) - B^2 \ln(B) - \frac{(A+B)^2}{2} + \frac{B^2}{2} \right). \tag{B.12}$$

F_3, $F_{3,2}$ and F_4 can be written by F_2's functions.

$$F_3(0, n, A, B, C) = -\frac{1}{B(n-1)} \left(F_2(1, n-1, A-B, B+C) - F_2(1, n-1, A, C) \right) \quad (n \geq 2). \tag{B.13}$$

$$F_3(0, 1, A, B, C) = -\frac{1}{B} \left(F_2(0, -1, A-B, B+C) - F_2(0, -1, A, C) \right). \tag{B.14}$$

$$F_3(1,n,A,B,C) = \frac{1}{B^2}\left(-\frac{1}{n-2}F_2(1,n-2,A-B,B+C) + \frac{1}{n-1}\left(AF_2(2,n-1,A-B,B+C)\right.\right.$$
$$\left.\left. +CF_2(1,n-1,A-B,B+C)\right) + \frac{1}{(n-2)(n-1)}F_2(1,n-2,A,C)\right) \quad (n \geq 3). \tag{B.15}$$

$$F_3(1,2,A,B,C) = \frac{1}{B^2}\left(F_2(0,-1,A-B,B+C) - F_2(0,-1,A,C) + AF_2(2,1,A-B,B+C)\right.$$
$$\left. +CF_2(1,1,A-B,B+C) - AF_2(2,1,A,C) - CF_2(1,1,A,C)\right). \tag{B.16}$$

$$F_3(1,1,A,B,C) = \frac{1}{6B} - \frac{A}{B^2}\left(F_2(2,0,A-B,B+C) - F_2(2,0,A,C)\right)$$
$$- \frac{C}{B^2}\left(F_2(1,0,A-B,B+C) - F_2(1,2,A,C)\right) \tag{B.17}$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_3(2,n,A,B,C) \;=\; & \frac{1}{B^3}\left(\frac{-1}{n-3}F_2(1,n-3,A-B,B+C) + \frac{2}{n-2}\left(AF_2(2,n-2,A-B,B+C)\right.\right. \\ & \left. +CF_2(1,n-2,A-B,B-C)\right) - \frac{1}{n-1}\left(A^2F_2(3,n-1,A-B,B+C)\right. \\ & \left.\left. +2ACF_2(2,n-1,A-B,B+C) + C^2F_2(1,n-1,A-B,B+C)\right)\right. \\ & \left.\frac{2}{(n-3)(n-2)(n-1)}F_2(1,n-3,A,C)\right) \quad (n \geq 4). \end{aligned} \tag{B.18}$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_3(2,3,A,B,C) \;=\; & \frac{1}{B^3}\left(F_2(1,0,A-B,B+C) - F2(1,0,A,C)\right) \\ & +\frac{2}{B^3}\left(A\left(F_2(2,1,A-B,B+C) - F_2(2,1,A,C)\right)\right. \\ & \left. +C\left(F_2(1,1,A-B,B+C) - F_2(1,1,A,C)\right)\right) \\ & -\frac{1}{2B^2}\left(A^2\left(F_2(3,2,A-B,B+C) - F_2(3,2,A,C)\right)\right. \\ & +2AC\left(F_2(2,2,A-B,B+C) - F_2(2,2,A,C)\right) \\ & \left.+C^2\left(F_2(1,2,A-B,B+C) - F_2(1,2,A,C)\right)\right), \end{aligned} \tag{B.19}$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_3(2,2,A,B,C) \;=\; & \frac{1}{6B^2} - \frac{1}{B^3}\left(2A\left(F_2(2,0,A-B,B+C) - F_2(2,0,A,C)\right)\right. \\ & +2C\left(F_2(1,0,A-B,B+C) - F_2(1,0,A,C)\right) \\ & -A\left(F_2(3,1,A-B,B+C) - F_2(3,1,A,C)\right) \\ & -2AC\left(F_2(2,1,A-B,B+C) - F_2(2,1,A,C)\right) \\ & \left.-C^2\left(F_2(1,1,A-B,B+C) - F_2(1,1,A,C)\right)\right), \end{aligned} \tag{B.20}$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_3(2,1,A,B,C) \;=\; & \frac{1}{6A} - \frac{1}{A^2}\left(B\left(F_2(3,0,A-B,B+C) - F_2(3,0,A,C)\right)\right. \\ & \left.+C\left(F_2(2,0,A-B,B+C) - F_2(2,0,A,C)\right)\right). \end{aligned} \tag{B.21}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_3(3,n,A,B,C) = \quad & \tfrac{1}{A}\left(\tfrac{-1}{A(n-2)}\left(F_2(3,n-2,B-A,A+C)-F_2(3,n-2,B,C)\right)\right. \\
& +\tfrac{1}{A(n-1)}\left(B\left(F_2(4,n-1,B-A,A+C)-F_2(4,n-1,B,C)\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left.+C\left(F_2(3,n-1,B-A,A+C)-F_2(3,n-1,B,C)\right)\right)\right) \qquad (n\geq 3),
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.22}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_3(\alpha,2,A,B,C) \;=\; & \frac{1}{A^2}\left(F_2(\alpha,0,B-A,A+C)-F_2(\alpha,0,B,C)\right. \\
& -B\left(F_2(\alpha+1,1,B-A,A+C)-F_2(\alpha+1,1,B,C)\right) \\
& \left.-C\left(F_2(\alpha,1,B-A,A+C)-F_2(\alpha,1,B,C)\right)\right), \qquad \text{(B.23)} \\
F_3(\alpha,1,A,B,C) \;=\; & \frac{1}{A(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)}+\frac{1}{A}\left(-B\left(F_2(\alpha+1,0,B-A,A+C)-F_2(\alpha+1,0,B,C)\right)\right. \\
& \left.-C\left(F_2(\alpha,0,B-A,A+C)-F_2(\alpha,0,B,C)\right)\right). \qquad \text{(B.24)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_4(1,n,A,B,C,D) \\
& = \frac{1}{C^2}\left(\frac{-1}{n-2}F_3(1,n-2,A-C,B-C,C+D)+\frac{1}{n-1}\left(DF_3(1,n-1,A-C,B-C,C+D)\right.\right. \\
& \left.+AF_3(2,n-1,B-C,A-C,C+D)+BF_3(2,n-1,A-C,B-C,C+D)\right) \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{(n-2)(n-1)}F_3(1,n-2,A,B,D)\right), \qquad \text{(B.25)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&F_4(2,n,A,B,C,D) = \\
&\frac{1}{C^3(3-n)}\left(F_3(1,n-3,A-C,B-C,C+D)-F_3(1,n-3,A,B,D)\right) \\
&-\frac{1}{C^2}\left(\frac{2A}{C(2-n)}\left(F_3(2,n-2,B-C,A-C,C+D)-F_3(2,n-2,A,B,D)\right)\right. \\
&+\frac{2B}{C(2-n)}\left(F_3(2,n-2,A-C,B-C,C+D)-F_3(2,n-2,A,B,D)\right) \\
&+\frac{2D}{C(2-n)}\left(F_3(1,n-2,A-C,B-C,C+D)-F_3(1,n-2,A,B,D)\right) \\
&-\frac{A^2}{C(1-n)}\left(F_3(3,n-1,B-C,A-C,C+D)-F_3(3,n-1,B,A,D)\right) \\
&-\frac{B^2}{C(1-n)}\left(F_3(3,n-1,A-C,B-C,C+D)-F_3(3,n-1,A,B,D)\right) \\
&-\frac{D^2}{C(1-n)}\left(F_3(3,n-1,A-C,B-C,C+D)-F_3(3,n-1,A,B,D)\right) \\
&-\frac{2AD}{C(1-n)}\left(F_3(2,n-1,B-C,A-C,C+D)-F_3(2,n-1,B,A,D)\right) \\
&-\frac{2BD}{C(1-n)}\left(F_3(2,n-1,A-C,B-C,C+D)-F_3(2,n-1,A,B,D)\right) \\
&\left.-\frac{2AB}{C(1-n)}\left(F_{3,2}(2,2,n-1,A-C,B-C,C+D)-F_{3,2}(2,2,n-1,A,B,D)\right)\right) \\
&(n\geq 2). \qquad \text{(B.26)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{3,2}(2,2,n,A,B,C) =& \frac{1}{B^3(3-n)}\left(F_2(2,n-3,A-B,B+C)-F_2(2,n-3,A,C)\right) \\
&-\frac{2}{B^3(2-n)}\left(A\left(F_2(3,n-2,A-B,B+C)-F_2(3,n-2,A,C)\right)\right. \\
&\left.+C\left(F_2(2,n-2,A-B,B+C)-F_2(2,n-2,A,C)\right)\right) \\
&+\frac{1}{B^3(1-n)}\left(A^2\left(F_2(4,n-1,A-B,B+C)-F_2(4,n-1,A,C)\right)\right. \\
&+2AC\left(F_2(3,n-2,A-B,B+C)-F_2(3,n-2,A,C)\right) \\
&\left.+C^2\left(F_2(2,n-1,A-B,B+C)-F_2(2,n-1,A,C)\right)\right). \qquad \text{(B.27)}
\end{aligned}
$$

We can write our one loop functions using F_i's and $F_{3,2}$ as follows;

$$\int \frac{dxdydzdw\delta(x+y+z+w-1)xyzw}{[xm_{\eta_0}^2+ym_{\chi_0}^2+zM_{N\Sigma_a}^2+wM_{N_{La}}^2]^4}\left[3-\frac{4M_{N_{La}}^2}{xm_{\eta_0}^2+ym_{\chi_0}^2+zM_{N\Sigma_a}^2+wM_{N_{La}}^2}\right]$$
$$= 3\left(F_4(1,4,m_{\eta_0}^2-M_{N_{La}}^2,m_{\chi_0}^2-M_{N_{La}}^2,M_{N\Sigma_a}^2-M_{N_{La}}^2,M_{N_{La}}^2)\right.$$
$$-F_4(2,4,m_{\chi_0}^2-M_{N_{La}}^2,M_{N\Sigma_a}^2-M_{N_{La}}^2,m_{\eta_0}^2-M_{N_{La}}^2,M_{N_{La}}^2)$$
$$-F_4(2,4,m_{\eta_0}^2-M_{N_{La}}^2,M_{N\Sigma_a}^2-M_{N_{La}}^2,m_{\chi_0}^2-M_{N_{La}}^2,M_{N_{La}}^2)$$
$$\left.-F_4(2,4,m_{\eta_0}^2-M_{N_{La}}^2,m_{\chi_0}^2-M_{N_{La}}^2,M_{N\Sigma_a}^2-M_{N_{La}}^2,M_{N_{La}}^2)\right)$$
$$-4M_{N_{La}}^2\left(F_5(1,5,m_{\eta_0}^2-M_{N_{La}}^2,m_{\chi_0}^2-M_{N_{La}}^2,M_{N\Sigma_a}^2-M_{N_{La}}^2,M_{N_{La}}^2)\right.$$
$$-F_4(2,5,m_{\chi_0}^2-M_{N_{La}}^2,M_{N\Sigma_a}^2-M_{N_{La}}^2,m_{\eta_0}^2-M_{N_{La}}^2,M_{N_{La}}^2)$$
$$-F_4(2,5,m_{\eta_0}^2-M_{N_{La}}^2,M_{N\Sigma_a}^2-M_{N_{La}}^2,m_{\chi_0}^2-M_{N_{La}}^2,M_{N_{La}}^2)$$
$$\left.-F_4(2,5,m_{\eta_0}^2-M_{N_{La}}^2,m_{\chi_0}^2-M_{N_{La}}^2,M_{N\Sigma_a}^2-M_{N_{La}}^2,M_{N_{La}}^2)\right). \quad \text{(B.28)}$$

[1] A. Zee, Phys. Lett. B **93**, 389 (1980) [Erratum-ibid. B **95**, 461 (1980)].

[2] T. P. Cheng and L. F. Li, Phys. Rev. D **22**, 2860 (1980).

[3] A. Pilaftsis, Z. Phys. C **55**, 275 (1992) doi:10.1007/BF01482590 [hep-ph/9901206].

[4] E. Ma, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 077301 (2006) [hep-ph/0601225].

[5] P. -H. Gu and U. Sarkar, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 105031 (2008) [arXiv:0712.2933 [hep-ph]].

[6] N. Sahu and U. Sarkar; Phys. Rev. D **78**, 115013 (2008) [arXiv:0804.2072 [hep-ph]].

[7] P. -H. Gu and U. Sarkar, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 073012 (2008) [arXiv:0807.0270 [hep-ph]].

[8] D. Aristizabal Sierra and D. Restrepo, JHEP **0608**, 036 (2006) [hep-ph/0604012].

[9] R. Bouchand and A. Merle, JHEP **1207**, 084 (2012) [arXiv:1205.0008 [hep-ph]].

[10] K. L. McDonald, JHEP **1311**, 131 (2013) [arXiv:1310.0609 [hep-ph]].

[11] E. Ma, Phys. Lett. B **732**, 167 (2014) [arXiv:1401.3284 [hep-ph]].

[12] Y. Kajiyama, H. Okada and K. Yagyu, Nucl. Phys. B **887**, 358 (2014) [arXiv:1309.6234 [hep-ph]].

[13] S. Kanemura, O. Seto and T. Shimomura, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 016004 (2011) [arXiv:1101.5713 [hep-ph]].

[14] S. Kanemura, T. Nabeshima and H. Sugiyama, Phys. Lett. B **703**, 66 (2011) [arXiv:1106.2480 [hep-ph]].

[15] S. Kanemura, T. Nabeshima and H. Sugiyama, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 033004 (2012) [arXiv:1111.0599 [hep-ph]].

[16] D. Schmidt, T. Schwetz and T. Toma, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 073009 (2012) [arXiv:1201.0906 [hep-ph]].

[17] S. Kanemura and H. Sugiyama, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 073006 (2012) [arXiv:1202.5231 [hep-ph]].

[18] Y. Farzan and E. Ma, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 033007 (2012) [arXiv:1204.4890 [hep-ph]].

[19] K. Kumericki, I. Picek and B. Radovcic, JHEP **1207**, 039 (2012) [arXiv:1204.6597 [hep-ph]].

[20] K. Kumericki, I. Picek and B. Radovcic, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 013006 (2012) [arXiv:1204.6599 [hep-ph]].

[21] E. Ma, Phys. Lett. B **717**, 235 (2012) [arXiv:1206.1812 [hep-ph]].

[22] G. Gil, P. Chankowski and M. Krawczyk, Phys. Lett. B **717**, 396 (2012) [arXiv:1207.0084 [hep-ph]].

[23] H. Okada and T. Toma, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 033011 (2012) arXiv:1207.0864 [hep-ph].

[24] D. Hehn and A. Ibarra, Phys. Lett. B **718**, 988 (2013) [arXiv:1208.3162 [hep-ph]].

[25] P. S. B. Dev and A. Pilaftsis, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 113001 (2012) [arXiv:1209.4051 [hep-ph]].

[26] Y. Kajiyama, H. Okada and T. Toma, Eur. Phys. J. C **73**, 2381 (2013) [arXiv:1210.2305 [hep-ph]].

[27] T. Toma and A. Vicente, JHEP **1401**, 160 (2014) [arXiv:1312.2840, arXiv:1312.2840 [hep-ph]].

[28] S. Kanemura, T. Matsui and H. Sugiyama, Phys. Lett. B **727**, 151 (2013) [arXiv:1305.4521 [hep-ph]].

[29] S. S. C. Law and K. L. McDonald, JHEP **1309**, 092 (2013) [arXiv:1305.6467 [hep-ph]].

[30] S. Baek and H. Okada, arXiv:1403.1710 [hep-ph].

[31] S. Kanemura, T. Matsui and H. Sugiyama, Phys. Rev. D **90**, 013001 (2014) [arXiv:1405.1935 [hep-ph]].

[32] S. Fraser, E. Ma and O. Popov, Phys. Lett. B **737**, 280 (2014) [arXiv:1408.4785 [hep-ph]].

[33] A. Vicente and C. E. Yaguna, JHEP **1502**, 144 (2015) [arXiv:1412.2545 [hep-ph]].

[34] S. Baek, H. Okada and K. Yagyu, JHEP **1504**, 049 (2015) [arXiv:1501.01530 [hep-ph]].

[35] A. Merle and M. Platscher, Phys. Rev. D **92**, no. 9, 095002 (2015) [arXiv:1502.03098 [hep-

ph]].

[36] D. Restrepo, A. Rivera, M. Sánchez-Peláez, O. Zapata and W. Tangarife, arXiv:1504.07892 [hep-ph].

[37] A. Merle and M. Platscher, JHEP **1511**, 148 (2015) [arXiv:1507.06314 [hep-ph]].

[38] W. Wang and Z. L. Han, Phys. Rev. D **92**, 095001 (2015) [arXiv:1508.00706 [hep-ph]].

[39] Y. H. Ahn and H. Okada, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 073010 (2012) [arXiv:1201.4436 [hep-ph]].

[40] E. Ma, A. Natale and A. Rashed, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **27**, 1250134 (2012) [arXiv:1206.1570 [hep-ph]].

[41] A. E. Carcamo Hernandez, I. d. M. Varzielas, S. G. Kovalenko, H. Päs and I. Schmidt, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 076014 (2013) [arXiv:1307.6499 [hep-ph]].

[42] E. Ma and A. Natale, Phys. Lett. B **723**, 403 (2014) [arXiv:1403.6772 [hep-ph]].

[43] E. Ma, Phys. Lett. B **741**, 202 (2015) [arXiv:1411.6679 [hep-ph]].

[44] E. Ma, arXiv:1504.02086 [hep-ph].

[45] E. Ma, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 091801 (2014) [arXiv:1311.3213 [hep-ph]].

[46] H. Okada and K. Yagyu, Phys. Rev. D **89**, 053008 (2014) [arXiv:1311.4360 [hep-ph]].

[47] H. Okada and K. Yagyu; Phys. Rev. D **90**, no. 3, 035019 (2014) [arXiv:1405.2368 [hep-ph]].

[48] V. Brdar, I. Picek and B. Radovcic, Phys. Lett. B **728**, 198 (2014) [arXiv:1310.3183 [hep-ph]].

[49] H. Okada, Y. Orikasa and T. Toma, arXiv:1511.01018 [hep-ph].

[50] F. Bonnet, M. Hirsch, T. Ota and W. Winter, JHEP **1207**, 153 (2012) [arXiv:1204.5862 [hep-ph]].

[51] F. R. Joaquim and J. T. Penedo, Phys. Rev. D **90**, no. 3, 033011 (2014) [arXiv:1403.4925 [hep-ph]].

[52] H. Davoudiasl and I. M. Lewis, Phys. Rev. D **90**, no. 3, 033003 (2014) [arXiv:1404.6260 [hep-ph]].

[53] M. Lindner, S. Schmidt and J. Smirnov, arXiv:1405.6204 [hep-ph];

[54] H. Okada and Y. Orikasa, Phys. Lett. B **760**, 558 (2016) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2016.07.039 [arXiv:1412.3616 [hep-ph]].

[55] Y. Mambrini, S. Profumo and F. S. Queiroz, arXiv:1508.06635 [hep-ph].

[56] S. M. Boucenna, S. Morisi and J. W. F. Valle, Adv. High Energy Phys. **2014**, 831598 (2014) [arXiv:1404.3751 [hep-ph]].

[57] A. Ahriche, S. M. Boucenna and S. Nasri, arXiv:1601.04336 [hep-ph].

[58] S. Fraser, C. Kownacki, E. Ma and O. Popov, arXiv:1511.06375 [hep-ph].

[59] S. Fraser, E. Ma and M. Zakeri, arXiv:1511.07458 [hep-ph].

[60] R. Adhikari, D. Borah and E. Ma, arXiv:1512.05491 [hep-ph].

[61] H. Okada and Y. Orikasa, Phys. Rev. D **94**, no. 5, 055002 (2016) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.94.055002 [arXiv:1512.06687 [hep-ph]].

[62] A. Ibarra, C. E. Yaguna and O. Zapata, Phys. Rev. D **93**, no. 3, 035012 (2016) [arXiv:1601.01163 [hep-ph]].

[63] C. Arbelaez, A. E. C. Hernandez, S. Kovalenko and I. Schmidt, arXiv:1602.03607 [hep-ph].

[64] A. Ahriche, K. L. McDonald, S. Nasri and I. Picek, Phys. Lett. B **757**, 399 (2016) [arXiv:1603.01247 [hep-ph]].

[65] W. B. Lu and P. H. Gu, arXiv:1603.05074 [hep-ph].

[66] C. Kownacki and E. Ma, arXiv:1604.01148 [hep-ph].

[67] A. Ahriche, K. L. McDonald and S. Nasri, arXiv:1604.05569 [hep-ph].

[68] A. Ahriche, A. Manning, K. L. McDonald and S. Nasri, arXiv:1604.05995 [hep-ph].

[69] E. Ma, N. Pollard, O. Popov and M. Zakeri, arXiv:1605.00991 [hep-ph].

[70] T. Nomura, H. Okada and Y. Orikasa, Phys. Rev. D **94**, no. 5, 055012 (2016) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.94.055012 [arXiv:1605.02601 [hep-ph]].

[71] C. Hagedorn, T. Ohlsson, S. Riad and M. A. Schmidt, arXiv:1605.03986 [hep-ph].

[72] O. Antipin, P. Culjak, K. Kumericki and I. Picek, arXiv:1606.05163 [hep-ph].

[73] T. Nomura and H. Okada, Phys. Lett. B **761**, 190 (2016) [arXiv:1606.09055 [hep-ph]].

[74] P. H. Gu, E. Ma and U. Sarkar, arXiv:1608.02118 [hep-ph].

[75] S. Y. Guo, Z. L. Han and Y. Liao, arXiv:1609.01018 [hep-ph].

[76] A. E. Carcamo Hernandez, arXiv:1512.09092 [hep-ph].

[77] L. Megrelidze and Z. Tavartkiladze, arXiv:1609.07344 [hep-ph].

[78] K. Cheung, T. Nomura and H. Okada, arXiv:1610.02322 [hep-ph].

[79] O. Seto and T. Shimomura, arXiv:1610.08112 [hep-ph].

[80] W. B. Lu and P. H. Gu, arXiv:1611.02106 [hep-ph].

[81] A. Zee, Nucl. Phys. B **264**, 99 (1986); K. S. Babu, Phys. Lett. B **203**, 132 (1988).

[82] K. S. Babu and C. Macesanu, Phys. Rev. D **67**, 073010 (2003) [hep-ph/0212058].

[83] D. Aristizabal Sierra and M. Hirsch, JHEP **0612**, 052 (2006) [hep-ph/0609307].

[84] M. Nebot, J. F. Oliver, D. Palao and A. Santamaria, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 093013 (2008)

[arXiv:0711.0483 [hep-ph]].

[85] D. Schmidt, T. Schwetz and H. Zhang, Nucl. Phys. B **885**, 524 (2014) [arXiv:1402.2251 [hep-ph]].

[86] J. Herrero-Garcia, M. Nebot, N. Rius and A. Santamaria, Nucl. Phys. B **885**, 542 (2014) [arXiv:1402.4491 [hep-ph]].

[87] H. N. Long and V. V. Vien, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **29**, no. 13, 1450072 (2014) [arXiv:1405.1622 [hep-ph]].

[88] V. Van Vien, H. N. Long and P. N. Thu, arXiv:1407.8286 [hep-ph].

[89] M. Aoki, S. Kanemura, T. Shindou and K. Yagyu, JHEP **1007**, 084 (2010) [Erratum-ibid. **1011**, 049 (2010)] [arXiv:1005.5159 [hep-ph]].

[90] M. Lindner, D. Schmidt and T. Schwetz, Phys. Lett. B **705**, 324 (2011) [arXiv:1105.4626 [hep-ph]].

[91] S. Baek, P. Ko, H. Okada and E. Senaha, JHEP **1409**, 153 (2014) [arXiv:1209.1685 [hep-ph]].

[92] M. Aoki, J. Kubo and H. Takano, Phys. Rev. D **87**, no. 11, 116001 (2013) [arXiv:1302.3936 [hep-ph]].

[93] Y. Kajiyama, H. Okada and K. Yagyu, Nucl. Phys. B **874**, 198 (2013) [arXiv:1303.3463 [hep-ph]].

[94] Y. Kajiyama, H. Okada and T. Toma, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 015029 (2013) [arXiv:1303.7356].

[95] S. Baek, H. Okada and T. Toma, JCAP **1406**, 027 (2014) [arXiv:1312.3761 [hep-ph]].

[96] H. Okada, arXiv:1404.0280 [hep-ph].

[97] H. Okada, T. Toma and K. Yagyu, Phys. Rev. D **90**, no. 9, 095005 (2014) [arXiv:1408.0961 [hep-ph]].

[98] H. Okada, arXiv:1503.04557 [hep-ph].

[99] C. Q. Geng and L. H. Tsai, arXiv:1503.06987 [hep-ph].

[100] S. Kashiwase, H. Okada, Y. Orikasa and T. Toma, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **31**, no. 20n21, 1650121 (2016) doi:10.1142/S0217751X16501219 [arXiv:1505.04665 [hep-ph]].

[101] M. Aoki and T. Toma, JCAP **1409**, 016 (2014) [arXiv:1405.5870 [hep-ph]].

[102] S. Baek, H. Okada and T. Toma, Phys. Lett. B **732**, 85 (2014) [arXiv:1401.6921 [hep-ph]].

[103] H. Okada and Y. Orikasa, Phys. Rev. D **93**, no. 1, 013008 (2016) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.93.013008 [arXiv:1509.04068 [hep-ph]].

[104] D. Aristizabal Sierra, A. Degee, L. Dorame and M. Hirsch, JHEP **1503**, 040 (2015)

[arXiv:1411.7038 [hep-ph]].

[105] T. Nomura and H. Okada, Phys. Lett. B **756**, 295 (2016) [arXiv:1601.07339 [hep-ph]].

[106] T. Nomura, H. Okada and Y. Orikasa, arXiv:1602.08302 [hep-ph].

[107] C. Bonilla, E. Ma, E. Peinado and J. W. F. Valle, arXiv:1607.03931 [hep-ph].

[108] M. Kohda, H. Sugiyama and K. Tsumura, Phys. Lett. B **718**, 1436 (2013) [arXiv:1210.5622 [hep-ph]].

[109] B. Dasgupta, E. Ma and K. Tsumura, Phys. Rev. D **89**, 041702 (2014) [arXiv:1308.4138 [hep-ph]].

[110] T. Nomura and H. Okada, Phys. Rev. D **94**, 075021 (2016) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.94.075021 [arXiv:1607.04952 [hep-ph]].

[111] T. Nomura and H. Okada, arXiv:1609.01504 [hep-ph].

[112] Z. Liu and P. H. Gu, arXiv:1611.02094 [hep-ph].

[113] L. M. Krauss, S. Nasri and M. Trodden, Phys. Rev. D **67**, 085002 (2003) [arXiv:hep-ph/0210389].

[114] M. Aoki, S. Kanemura and O. Seto, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 051805 (2009) [arXiv:0807.0361].

[115] M. Gustafsson, J. M. No and M. A. Rivera, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 211802 (2013) arXiv:1212.4806 [hep-ph].

[116] A. Ahriche, S. Nasri and R. Soualah, Phys. Rev. D **89**, 095010 (2014) [arXiv:1403.5694 [hep-ph]].

[117] A. Ahriche, C. S. Chen, K. L. McDonald and S. Nasri, Phys. Rev. D **90**, no. 1, 015024 (2014) [arXiv:1404.2696 [hep-ph]].

[118] A. Ahriche, K. L. McDonald and S. Nasri, JHEP **1410**, 167 (2014) [arXiv:1404.5917 [hep-ph]].

[119] H. Okada and Y. Orikasa, Phys. Rev. D **90**, no. 7, 075023 (2014) [arXiv:1407.2543 [hep-ph]].

[120] H. Hatanaka, K. Nishiwaki, H. Okada and Y. Orikasa, Nucl. Phys. B **894**, 268 (2015) [arXiv:1412.8664 [hep-ph]].

[121] L. G. Jin, R. Tang and F. Zhang, Phys. Lett. B **741**, 163 (2015) [arXiv:1501.02020 [hep-ph]].

[122] P. Culjak, K. Kumericki and I. Picek, Phys. Lett. B **744**, 237 (2015) [arXiv:1502.07887 [hep-ph]].

[123] H. Okada, N. Okada and Y. Orikasa, Phys. Rev. D **93**, no. 7, 073006 (2016) [arXiv:1504.01204 [hep-ph]].

[124] C. Q. Geng, D. Huang and L. H. Tsai, Phys. Lett. B **745**, 56 (2015) [arXiv:1504.05468 [hep-ph]].

[125] A. Ahriche, K. L. McDonald, S. Nasri and T. Toma, Phys. Lett. B **746**, 430 (2015) [arXiv:1504.05755 [hep-ph]].

[126] K. Nishiwaki, H. Okada and Y. Orikasa, Phys. Rev. D **92**, no. 9, 093013 (2015) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.92.093013 [arXiv:1507.02412 [hep-ph]].

[127] H. Okada and K. Yagyu, Phys. Rev. D **93**, no. 1, 013004 (2016) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.93.013004 [arXiv:1508.01046 [hep-ph]].

[128] A. Ahriche, K. L. McDonald and S. Nasri, arXiv:1508.02607 [hep-ph].

[129] Y. Kajiyama, H. Okada and K. Yagyu, JHEP **10**, 196 (2013) arXiv:1307.0480 [hep-ph].

[130] S. F. King, A. Merle and L. Panizzi, arXiv:1406.4137 [hep-ph].

[131] S. Kanemura, K. Nishiwaki, H. Okada, Y. Orikasa, S. C. Park and R. Watanabe, arXiv:1512.09048 [hep-ph].

[132] H. Okada and K. Yagyu, Phys. Lett. B **756**, 337 (2016) [arXiv:1601.05038 [hep-ph]].

[133] P. Ko, T. Nomura, H. Okada and Y. Orikasa, arXiv:1602.07214 [hep-ph].

[134] T. Nomura, H. Okada and Y. Orikasa, Phys. Rev. D **93**, no. 11, 113008 (2016) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.93.113008 [arXiv:1603.04631 [hep-ph]].

[135] T. T. Thuc, L. T. Hue, H. N. Long and T. P. Nguyen, arXiv:1604.03285 [hep-ph].

[136] D. Cherigui, C. Guella, A. Ahriche and S. Nasri, arXiv:1605.03640 [hep-ph].

[137] T. Nomura, H. Okada and N. Okada, Phys. Lett. B **762**, 409 (2016) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2016.09.038 [arXiv:1608.02694 [hep-ph]].

[138] K. Cheung, H. Ishida and H. Okada, arXiv:1609.06231 [hep-ph].

[139] K. Cheung, T. Nomura and H. Okada, arXiv:1610.04986 [hep-ph].

[140] P. H. Gu, arXiv:1611.03256 [hep-ph].

[141] T. Nomura and H. Okada, Phys. Lett. B **755**, 306 (2016) [arXiv:1601.00386 [hep-ph]].

[142] T. Nomura and H. Okada, arXiv:1601.04516 [hep-ph].

[143] J. Bergstrom, A. Merle and T. Ohlsson, JHEP **1105**, 122 (2011) [arXiv:1103.3015 [hep-ph]].

[144] M. Gustafsson, J. M. No and M. A. Rivera, Phys. Rev. D **90**, no. 1, 013012 (2014) [arXiv:1402.0515 [hep-ph]].

[145] Z. U. Khandker, D. Li and W. Skiba, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 015006 (2012) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.86.015006 [arXiv:1201.4383 [hep-ph]].

[146] K.A. Olive *et al.* (Particle Data Group), Chin. Phys. C, 38, 090001 (2014).

[147] L. Basso, O. Fischer and J. J. van der Bij, Europhys. Lett. **105**, no. 1, 11001 (2014) doi:10.1209/0295-5075/105/11001 [arXiv:1310.2057 [hep-ph]].

[148] S. Antusch and O. Fischer, JHEP **1410**, 094 (2014) doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2014)094 [arXiv:1407.6607 [hep-ph]].

[149] E. Akhmedov, A. Kartavtsev, M. Lindner, L. Michaels and J. Smirnov, JHEP **1305**, 081 (2013) doi:10.1007/JHEP05(2013)081 [arXiv:1302.1872 [hep-ph]].

[150] J. A. Casas and A. Ibarra, Nucl. Phys. B **618**, 171 (2001) [hep-ph/0103065].

[151] D. V. Forero, M. Tortola and J. W. F. Valle, Phys. Rev. D **90**, no. 9, 093006 (2014) [arXiv:1405.7540 [hep-ph]].

[152] M. Agostini *et al.* [GERDA Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, no. 12, 122503 (2013) [arXiv:1307.4720 [nucl-ex]].

[153] A. M. Baldini *et al.* [MEG Collaboration], Eur. Phys. J. C **76**, no. 8, 434 (2016) [arXiv:1605.05081 [hep-ex]].

[154] J. Adam *et al.* [MEG Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 201801 (2013) [arXiv:1303.0754 [hep-ex]].

[155] E. V. Hungerford [COMET Collaboration], AIP Conf. Proc. **1182**, 694 (2009).

[156] C. Dohmen *et al.* [SINDRUM II Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B **317**, 631 (1993).

[157] W. H. Bertl *et al.* [SINDRUM II Collaboration], Eur. Phys. J. C **47**, 337 (2006).

[158] W. Honecker *et al.* [SINDRUM II Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 200 (1996).

[159] J. Hisano, T. Moroi, K. Tobe and M. Yamaguchi, Phys. Rev. D **53**, 2442 (1996) [hep-ph/9510309].

[160] G. W. Bennett *et al.* [Muon g-2 Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **73**, 072003 (2006) [hep-ex/0602035].

[161] K. Griest and D. Seckel, Phys. Rev. D **43**, 3191 (1991).

[162] D. S. Akerib *et al.*, arXiv:1608.07648 [astro-ph.CO].